

**TRUTH B-P CHURCH
ADULT SUNDAY SCHOOL**

The Doctrine of Verbal Plenary Preservation
July – September 2010

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course on the doctrine of Verbal Plenary Preservation (VPP) will discuss the inspiration, canonicity, identification, transmission and translation of Scripture that support fully the doctrine of VPP. VPP is a biblical doctrine well-supported by the Scriptures. The superiority of the King James Bible and the Textus Receptus that underlies it will also be demonstrated.

II. RECOMMENDED TEXTS

1. D A Waite, *Defending the King James Bible* (Collingswood NJ: The Bible for Today Press, 1994).
2. Dean J W Burgon, *The Revision Revised: A Refutation of Westcott and Hort's False Greek Text and Theory* (Collingswood NJ: Dean Burgon Society Press).
3. Edward F Hills, *The King James Version Defended* (Des Moines IA: The Christian Research Press, 1984).
4. Jeffrey Khoo, *KJV Questions & Answers -- Answers to Frequently Asked Questions About the KJV and its Underlying Texts* (Singapore: Bible Witness Literature Ministry, 2003).
5. Jeffrey Khoo, *Kept Pure in All Ages: Recapturing the Authorised Version and the Doctrine of Providential Preservation* (Singapore: FEBC Press, 2001).
6. S H Tow, *Beyond Versions* (Singapore: King James Productions, 1998).
7. Timothy Tow & Jeffrey Khoo, *Theology for Every Christian: A Systematic Theology in the Reformed and Premillennial Tradition of J Oliver Buswell* (Singapore: FEBC Press, 2007).
8. Log on to: [http://www.febc.edu.sg/Doctrine of Perfect Preservation.htm](http://www.febc.edu.sg/Doctrine%20of%20Perfect%20Preservation.htm)

III. CONTENTS

Lesson No.	Topic	Date	Page No.
--	-- No class (in view of Camp Echo) --	4 Jul 2010	--
1	Introduction to the Doctrine of VPP	11 Jul 2010	2
2	Inspiration & VPP	18 Jul 2010	9
3	Biblical Support for VPP (I)	25 Jul 2010	18
4	Biblical Support for VPP (II)	1 Aug 2010	25
5	Biblical Support for VPP (III)	8 Aug 2010	32
6	Biblical Support for VPP (IV)	15 Aug 2010	37
7	The King James Bible & VPP	22 Aug 2010	43
--	-- No class (in view of Teachers' Day) --	29 Aug 2010	--
8	Identification of God's Preserved Words (I)	5 Sep 2010	60
9	Identification of God's Preserved Words (II)	12 Sep 2010	75
10	Conclusion/ Q & A Session	19 Sep 2010	94
--	-- No class --	26 Sep 2010	--

LESSON 1

INTRODUCTION TO THE DOCTRINE OF VERBAL PLENARY PRESERVATION

(by Rev Dennis Kwok)

I. DEFINITION OF VPP

What does VPP mean? “Verbal” means “every word to the jot and tittle” (Ps 12:6-7, Matt 5:18). “Plenary” means “the Scripture as a whole with all the words intact” (Matt 24:35, 1 Pet 1:25). So VPP means the whole of Scripture with all its words even to the jot and tittle is perfectly preserved by God without any loss of the original words, prophecies, promises, commandments, doctrines, and truths, not only in the words of salvation, but also the words of history, geography and science. Every book, every chapter, every verse, every word, every syllable, every letter is infallibly preserved by the Lord Himself to the last iota.

II. THE BEGINNINGS OF VPP ISSUE IN SINGAPORE

A. Two Deadly Poisons: ‘From The Mind of God to the Mind of Man’ and ‘One Bible Only?’

1. The battle to be fought today is the battle for the Bible. The doctrine of inspiration was fought in the last century. In this new century, it is the battle of the doctrine of Bible preservation. Far Eastern Bible College of Singapore stood firm on God’s providential preservation of the perfect Bible and is still standing today without compromise and apology.
2. The book ‘From the Mind of God to the Mind of Man’ published by Bob Jones University in 1999 attacks the fundamental doctrine of Bible preservation by having an inclination to the critical texts originated from Westcott and Hort. In addition, BJU adopts a neutral position on the English Bible versions. This is the first poison that spreads to all who adore BJU as one Bible seminary and university that stood without apology through the dangerous time of great apostasy. Unfortunately, like many other Bible seminaries which had fallen, BJU succumbed to the god of humanistic scholarship and downgraded spiritual and biblical discernment. Thus, FEBC, being true to her call to be God’s watchman in these last of the last days, must sound the alarm bell of warning.
3. The second poison is found in the book ‘One Bible Only?’ which was written by the Baptist fundamentalists who taught at Central Baptist Theological Seminary of Plymouth, Minnesota. This book speaks in one voice throughout saying that the Bible is preserved conceptually, and not verbally. In other words, it was believed that only the vital doctrines are preserved, and not the inspired words. Many of the non-VPPists or anti-VPPists sing the same tune as this book. Their arguments against the doctrine of VPP are relatively the same.

B. Life BPC & FEBC

1. Dr Jeffrey Khoo, the Academic Dean of FEBC, wrote two separate critiques against the two poison books which were highly endorsed by BJU and Central Baptist Seminary, in order to defend the King James Bible and the original

language texts underlying it. His timely critiques serve as a strong warning to the readers of these books. The teaching of the doctrine of VPP has thus taken root and eventually became the hot topic among B-P churches in Singapore.

2. Among the teaching faculty members of FEBC, two disagreed on the doctrine of VPP. As a result, they resigned from the faculty but remained as assistant pastors of Life BPC. Due to their influence and good support from the Church Session, Life BPC steered a different direction from FEBC. Since then, anti-VPP statements were consistently made and taught that VPP is a new teaching and remains a personal conviction rather than a universal gospel truth.
3. Thus, Life BPC and FEBC went on separate ways from the last quarter of 2003 onwards. In the same year, FEBC Principal Rev Timothy Tow resigned from Life BPC as pastor and started a new church called 'True Life BPC' under the umbrella of FEBC.

C. Calvary BPC & Truth BPC

'Truth will out' is what Rev Timothy Tow always reminded his students in FEBC. The issue of VPP did not remain with Life-FEBC. For more than two years, Calvary BPC has been staying clear of this sensitive issue for fear of splitting the church. It was until 2 Oct 2005, an official church stand was made for a non-VPP position, though not without a strong appeal made to the Board of Elders against making such a hasty decision. As a result, five deacons and two full-time staffworkers, unwilling to submit to a non-VPP stand, resigned from their offices at the end of the year 2005. It was in such a situation, Truth BPC was born on 1 Jan 2006 at Calvary Pandan BPC premises to take a firm stand on the doctrine of VPP. "*For we can do nothing against the truth but for the truth*" (2 Cor 13:8).

III. THE IMPORTANCE OF VPP

A. Inspiration and Preservation are Twin Brothers!

1. Non-VPPists or anti-VPPists do not believe the God who perfectly inspired His Word has also perfectly preserved His Word. They affirm Verbal Plenary Inspiration (VPI) but deny Verbal Plenary Preservation (VPP). They believe strongly that we do not have an infallible and inerrant Bible TODAY and thereby their denial of the doctrine of VPP. By denying VPP, they might as well deny VPI, for what is the use of an infallible and inerrant Bible in the past but not today?
2. Dr Ian Paisley was absolutely correct to say, "The verbal Inspiration of the Scriptures demands the verbal Preservation of the Scriptures. Those who would deny the need for verbal Preservation cannot be accepted as committed to verbal Inspiration. If there is no preserved Word of God today then the work of Divine Revelation and Divine Inspiration has perished" (*My Plea for the Old Sword*, 103).
3. Dr Timothy Tow, founding pastor of the Bible-Presbyterian Church in Singapore and principal of the Far Eastern Bible College, likewise wrote, "We believe the preservation of Holy Scripture and its Divine inspiration stand in the same position as providence and creation. If Deism teaches a Creator who goes to sleep

after creating the world is absurd, to hold to the doctrine of inspiration without preservation is equally illogical. ... Without preservation, all the inspiration, God-breathing into the Scriptures, would be lost. But we have a Bible so pure and powerful in every word and it is so because God has preserved it down through the ages” (*A Theology for Every Christian: Knowing God and His Word*, 47).

4. Dr Hills wrote, “If the doctrine of *divine inspiration* of the Old and New Testament Scriptures is a true doctrine, the doctrine of the *providential preservation* of these Scriptures must also be a true doctrine. It must be that down through the centuries God has exercised a special, providential control over the copying of the Scriptures and the preservation and use of the original text have been available to God's people in every age. God must have done this, for if He gave the Scriptures to His Church by inspiration as the perfect and final revelation of His will, then it is obvious that He would not allow this revelation to disappear or undergo any alteration of its fundamental character” (*The King James Version Defended*, 2).

B. The Doctrine of Bible Preservation is not a New Teaching!

1. The doctrine of the 100% inspiration and 100% preservation of God’s Holy Word existed even before the Westminster Confession as much as the doctrine of the 100% deity and 100% humanity of Christ existed before the Athanasian Creed. The doctrine of 100% inspiration and 100% preservation of God’s words in the Holy Scriptures is not a new doctrine but a very old one. It certainly did not begin with D A Waite, nor E F Hills, nor J W Burgon, but with the Holy Scripture itself.
2. The doctrine of preservation is as old as the Bible. Why is the Bible our Supreme, Final, and All-sufficient Authority in faith and life? It is precisely because it is God’s Perfect Word, infallible and inerrant, even today!

C. The Doctrine of VPP is Biblical!

1. The *Westminster Confession of Faith* (WCF) states very clearly that the inspired Scriptures in the original languages are by God’s “singular care and providence, kept pure in all ages” (WCF I:8). The Westminster divines used Matthew 5:18 as a proof text for this affirmation of the verbal plenary preservation (VPP) of the Scriptures. This proves that the doctrine of the VPP of Scripture is not just creedal, but more importantly *Biblical*.
2. The VPP of Scripture is a position of faith that is based solely on the Word of God. “*Now faith is the substance of things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen*” (Heb 11:1). “*So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God*” (Rom 10:17). “*But without faith it is impossible to please him: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him*” (Heb 11:6). It is a position that we must take if we are to weather and survive the onslaughts of postmodernism, pop-modernism, open-theism and neo-deism that seek to destroy the church today.

D. What Kind of Bible Preservation?

1. Many including non-VPPists say they believe in providential preservation. This is what they say, but what do they really mean? Non-VPPist will tell you he believes in preservation, however he does not mean entire preservation but essential preservation; it is conceptual preservation, not verbal preservation. In other words, he believes that only the vital doctrines are preserved and not the inspired words.
2. Does the Bible teach partial and conceptual preservation or plenary and verbal preservation? The Bible and the Protestant Church creeds affirm the latter. The Reformed Confessions in both Presbyterian and Baptist circles affirm not just the 100% inspiration of the Autographs, but also the 100% preservation of the Autographs in the faithful Apographs that have come down to us today.
3. The Westminster Confession of Faith (1.8) for instance states, "*The Old Testament in Hebrew (which was the native language of the people of God of old), and the New Testament in Greek (which, at the time of the writing of it, was most generally known to the nations), being immediately inspired by God, and, by His singular care and providence, kept pure in all ages, are therefore authentical; so as, in all controversies of religion, the Church is finally to appeal unto them.*" Note that the Westminster Confession did not use the term "Autographs" but spoke of the Scriptures in terms of the original *languages* (Hebrew OT and Greek NT). The Westminster Confession clearly affirms the 100% inspiration ("immediately inspired by God") and 100% preservation ("by His singular care and providence, kept pure in all ages") of the Holy Scriptures in the original languages.

E. What and Where are the Preserved Texts Today?

1. They are the inspired OT Hebrew words and NT Greek words the prophets, the apostles, the church fathers, the reformers used which are today found in the long and continuously abiding and preserved words underlying the Reformation Bibles best represented by the time-tested and time-honoured KJV, and **NOT** in the corrupted Alexandrian manuscripts and critical Westcott-Hort texts underlying the liberal, ecumenical, and neo-evangelical modern English versions.
2. To be more precise, the infallible and inerrant words of Scripture are found in the faithfully preserved Traditional/Byzantine/Majority manuscripts, and fully represented in the Printed and Received Text (or Textus Receptus) that underlie the Reformation Bibles best represented by the KJV, and **NOT** in the corrupted and rejected texts of Westcott and Hort that underlie the many modern versions of the English Bible like the NIV, NASV, ESV, RSV, TEV, CEV, etc.

F. Are there Scribal/ Copyist Mistakes?

1. We do not deny that copying mistakes were made during the transcription process, but that does not negate the fact that God has superintended the transcription of His inspired words to ensure that none of His inspired words would be lost. If 10 scribes were copying the Scriptures, one or two might possibly make a mistake in copying a particular verse, but the rest would have copied it correctly, and the

mistake made is easily identified and rectified by the rest. The special providential hand of God has ensured this.

2. God's providential work is always supernatural. God knows all things and is all-powerful. Man makes mistakes, but not God. He who has inspired every jot and tittle of His Word has surely preserved every jot and tittle of His Word (Matt 5:18).
3. There are no mistakes in the Bible. If there are any "discrepancies" in the Bible, the "discrepancies" are only seeming or apparent, **NOT** real or actual. Any inability to understand or explain such difficult passages in no way negates the infallibility and inerrancy of the Scriptures, applying the faithful Pauline principle of biblical interpretation: "*let God be true, but every man a liar*" (Rom 3:4).

G. Is KJV Inspired?

1. Anti-VPPists are prone to put words into the mouths of VPP proponents by saying that they believe the KJV to be as inspired and as infallible and inerrant as the original language Scriptures. We make no such claim. We believe that "the King James Version (or Authorised Version) of the English Bible is a *true, faithful, and accurate* translation of these two providentially preserved Texts [Traditional Masoretic Hebrew Text and Traditional Greek Text underlying the KJV], which in our time has no equal among all of the other English Translations. The translators did such a fine job in their translation task that we can without apology hold up the Authorised Version and say 'This is the Word of God!' while at the same time realising that, in some verses, *we must go back to the underlying original language Texts* for complete clarity, and also compare Scripture with Scripture" (*The Dean Burgon Society, "Articles of Faith," section II.A*).
2. 'No translation can claim to be 100% equivalent to the original language Scriptures, but if it is a true, faithful, accurate translation based on the preserved text, it is the Word of God. The Textus Receptus is like the platinum yardstick of the Smithsonian Institute, accurate to the last decimal point. The KJV on the other hand is like the wooden yardstick used in the homes and shops. Would anyone deny that the common yardstick though not the perfect yardstick of the Smithsonian Institute is any less a yardstick and fit to measure?' (Dr Jeffrey Khoo, *The Emergence of Neo-Fundamentalism: One Bible Only? Or Yea Hath God Said?*, January Issue of Burning Bush Volume 10 Number 1).
3. Many English versions have been published, but none has yet overthrown the KJV. The KJV remains the best, most faithful, reliable, accurate, trustworthy, beautiful English Bible we have today. Can the venerable KJV ever be replaced? Should we ever think of revising it? Here is Dean Burgon's reply: "Whatever may be urged in favour of Biblical Revision, it is at least undeniable that the undertaking involves a tremendous risk. Our Authorized Version is the one religious link which at present binds together ... millions of English-speaking men scattered over the earth's surface. Is it reasonable that so unutterably precious, so sacred a bond should be endangered, for the sake of representing certain words more accurately,—here and there translating a tense with greater precision,—getting rid of a few archaisms? It may be confidently assumed that no 'Revision'

of our Authorized Version, however judiciously executed, will ever occupy the place in public esteem which is actually enjoyed by the work of the Translators of 1611,—the noblest literary work in the Anglo-Saxon language. We shall in fact never have *another* ‘Authorized Version’” (*Revision Revised*, 113).

IV. SUMMARY

Our earnest contention for the inerrancy and infallibility of an extant Bible in the original languages is not an act of schism but of love for both God and man. We are intent on teaching “*all the counsel of God*” (Acts 20:27); we can do no less. The doctrine of VPP promotes God and glorifies Him, for it testifies of His character as One who is the same yesterday, today and forever. He means what He says, and says what He means. “*Heaven and earth shall pass away, but My WORDS shall not pass away*” (Matt 24:35). Is this divine statement not clear enough?

Dr Jeffrey Khoo in his critique (published in FEBC Burning Bush Volume 10 Number 1) of the book *One Bible Only?* wrote ‘Hindus and Muslims all believe that their scriptures, the Bhagavad Gita and the Koran respectively, are perfect. Yet Christians who claim to believe in the one living and true God, the Creator of heaven and earth, and Christ the only Mediator and Saviour of the world, are not so quick to believe they have an existing infallible and inerrant Scripture. What a shame!’ If we adopt a non-VPP position, then Christianity is no longer true, and Christians shall become the laughing stock of the religious world. Indeed, if the Christian Bible is not perfect, infallible and inerrant today and it is a thing of the past, “*then is our preaching vain, and your faith is also vain. Yea, and we are found false witnesses of God; ... If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable*” (1 Cor 15:14-15, 19).

Basically, those who hold to the VPP of Scripture believe and embrace the following tenets:

1. God has supernaturally preserved each and every one of His inspired Hebrew/Aramaic OT words and Greek NT words to the last jot and tittle, so that in every age, God’s people will always have in their possession His infallible and inerrant Word kept intact without the loss of any word (Ps 12:6-7, Matt 5:18, 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33, John 10:35, 1 Pet 1:23-25).
2. The “providential” preservation of Scriptures is understood as God’s *special* and not general providence. *Special* providence or *providentia extraordinaria* speaks of God’s miraculous intervention in the events of history and in the affairs of mankind in fulfilment of His sovereign will for the sake of His elect and to the glory of His Name. The divine preservation of the Canon (books) and Text (words) of Scripture comes under God’s *special* providence.
3. The Bible is not only infallible and inerrant in the past (in the Autographs), but also infallible and inerrant today (in the Apographs).
4. The infallible and inerrant words of Scripture are found in the faithfully preserved Traditional/Byzantine/Majority manuscripts, and fully represented in the Printed and Received Text (or Textus Receptus) that underlie the Reformation Bibles best represented by the KJV, and **NOT** in the corrupted and rejected texts of Westcott and

Hort that underlie the many modern versions of the English Bible like the NIV, NASV, ESV, RSV, TEV, CEV, etc.

5. There are no mistakes in the Bible, period. There are no mistakes or errors (scribal or otherwise) in such OT passages as Judges 18:30, 1 Samuel 13:1, 1 Kings 4:26, 1 Chronicles 18:3, 2 Chronicles 22:2 etc. If there are “discrepancies” in the Bible, the “discrepancies” are only seeming or apparent, **NOT** real or actual. Any inability to understand or explain such difficult passages in no way negates the infallibility and inerrancy of the Scriptures, applying the faithful Pauline principle of biblical interpretation: “let God be true, but every man a liar” (Rom 3:4).
6. Knowing where the perfect Bible is is a matter of textual recognition and **NOT** textual criticism. In the field of textual recognition, Burgon is good, Hills is better, Waite is best.
7. The Chinese Union Version (CUV) is the “Word of God” for the Chinese people today since it is the best, most faithful, most reliable, and most accurate version among the Chinese versions presently available. Great care ought to be taken not to undermine our Chinese brethren’s confidence in the CUV. Nevertheless, versions or translations are never superior to the inspired and preserved Hebrew, Aramaic and Greek Scriptures; thus there is a need to consult these original language Scriptures for clarity and fulness of meaning, and to compare Scripture with Scripture.